

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/665,308	-	09/19/2000	Rebecca E. Cahoon	BB1149 US NA	5536
23906	7590	10/01/2003			
		EMOURS AND	EXAMINER		
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128				COLLINS, CYNTHIA E	
· - ·	ICASTER P GTON, DE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1638	
				DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/665,308	CAHOON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cynthia Collins	1638	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experience period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on July	<u>7, 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.	
•	Claim(s) <u>9/-105</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT TOTT CONSIGNATION.		
	Claim(s) 94-105 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
	ion Papers	oloollon roquii omoni.		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the control of the control of the control of the certified Copies of the prior application for a list of the control of the certified Copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the list of the lis	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		
_a) The translation of the foreign language protacknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachmen		. ,		
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed July 7, 2003, has been entered.

Claims 9\$-105 are pending and are examined.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

All previous objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 91-105 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for the reasons of record set forth in the office action mailed January 2, 2003.

Applicant's arguments filed July 7, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that a representative number of polynucleotides encoding a polypeptide having cyclin delta activity and 80% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:12 are disclosed. Applicants point to page 6 of the specification as disclosing alterations in the nucleotide sequence that are not expected to alter functionality, as well as Table 4 which discloses the results of a BLASTX analysis comparing SEQ ID NO:12 and the *Arabidopsis* cyclin-delta-1 (reply pages 6-7).

The Examiner maintains that the specification does not disclose a representative number of polynucleotides encoding a polypeptide having cyclin delta activity and 80%

Art Unit: 1638

sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:12 because the specification does not disclose even one sequence species that has 80% amino acid sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:12.

Furthermore, age 6 of the specification does not disclose the identity of any particular nucleotide or amino acid residue the alteration of which could be critical to cyclin delta function. Additionally, Table 4 does not disclose the existence of amino acid sequence homology between SEQ ID NO:12 and the *Arabidopsis* cyclin-delta-1 in any cyclin delta domain known to be critical to cyclin delta function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and 35 USC § 112

Claims 91-105 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility, for the reasons of record set forth in the office action mailed January 2, 2003.

Claims 91-105 also remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention, for the reasons of record set forth in the office action mailed January 2, 2003.

Applicant's arguments filed July 7, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants point to the BLASTX analysis submitted as Appendix A, which is indicative of the results shown in Table 4 of the specification. Applicants point out the improbability of the sequence similarity having occurred by chance, that SEQ ID NO:12

Art Unit: 1638

and the *Arabidopsis* cyclin-delta-1 (SEQ ID NO:30) have high sequence identity (77%) in their central region as compared to the amino and carboxy termini, and that a conserved Rb-binding domain (L-X-C-X-E) is present in SEQ ID NO:12. Applicants argue that in view of the foregoing that one skilled in the art would expect SEQ ID NO:12 to represent a functional cyclin delta-1 protein. Applicants additionally note that SEQ ID NO:12 should represent a functional cyclin delta protein even in light of additional data obtained after the filing date, as the data indicate sequence discrepancies in the amino and carboxy terminal regions of the protein, which would not be expected to affect functionality (reply pages 5-6).

The Examiner maintains that the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility as Applicants have not established that SEQ ID NO:12 or any protein having 80% or more amino acid sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:12 would encode a functional cyclin delta protein. The Examiner does not question that the overall amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:12 resembles the amino acid sequence of delta cyclins, but rather questions whether there is sufficient homology between the functional domains of delta cyclins and SEQ ID NO:12 for one skilled in the art to infer that SEQ ID NO:12 is a functional delta cyclin protein. The Examiner also does not dispute that the conserved Rb-binding domain (L-X-C-X-E) present in SEQ ID NO:12 is characteristic of delta cyclins, but maintains that conservation of the Rb-binding domain alone is not a sufficient indicator of delta cyclin protein function, as all cyclins initially function by binding to and activating specific cyclin-dependent kinases.

Art Unit: 1638

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Results

No claim is allowed

Claims 91-105 are deemed free of the prior art due to the failure of the prior art to teach or suggest a nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:11 or an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:12.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Collins whose telephone number is (703) 605-1210. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:45 AM -5:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on (703) 306-3218. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1638

Page 6

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CC September 23, 2003

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 190

GROUP 180-1638 (Ceecal)